



# UNITED THANK OFFERING GRANT REQUEST FORM

ON OR BEFORE MARCH 31, 1986, mail copies 1 and 2 and one set of attachments to: UTO Office, 815 Second Avenue, New York, NY 10017

All requesters should keep copy 5. Offices of ECUSA dioceses and overseas provinces should have copy 4. In ECUSA dioceses, the Provincial UTO Representative should have copy 3.

Use only space provided, except for attachments 2a-h. Additional information is not considered part of the application and is to be physically separate from this form and attachments.

TITLE FOR GRANT REQUEST PROPOSAL Wider Area Ministry

IMPLEMENTING ORGANIZATION  
Name The Diocese of South Dakota  
Address P.O. Box 517  
Sioux Falls, SD 57101  
Country U.S.A.

PERSON FILING FORM  
Name & Title The Rt. Rev. Craig B. Anderson  
Bishop  
Address P.O. Box 517  
Sioux Falls, SD 57101  
Complete phone number 605/338-9751

1. This grant request is (check one of the three choices below and follow the appropriate instructions for page 5):  
 One of three eligible requests from an ECUSA DIOCESE.

Province (I-IX) VI Diocese South Dakota Bishop Craig B. Anderson

In ECUSA DIOCESES, only the bishop of the diocese is to complete page 5, sections A-E, and only for projects implemented within diocesan boundaries. For projects that cover larger areas, see third choice.

- One of four eligible requests from an OVERSEAS PROVINCE OR COUNCIL.

Province \_\_\_\_\_ Archbishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Diocese \_\_\_\_\_ Bishop \_\_\_\_\_

In OVERSEAS DIOCESES, the bishop of the diocese or the archbishop of the province is to complete sections A-E, page 5; however, the possible priorities (E) should be determined for the entire province. Each grant request form is to reflect only one request and one priority. The province or council priorities may be submitted by a separate letter.

- From an organization whose jurisdictional boundaries are larger than an ECUSA diocese or an Episcopal or Anglican province/council outside of ECUSA with or without formal Episcopal/Anglican relationships. *In these cases* applications are submitted directly to the UTO Office. Sections A-E, page 5, do not have to be completed, but the space provided may be used to show Episcopal/Anglican support for the proposal.

## 2. ACCOUNTABILITY INFORMATION (Attachments a-h)

A grant request form will not be considered complete without this information and may be returned if the attachments are not submitted with this form postmarked on or before March 31, 1986. Use a separate 8 1/2 x 11 sheet for each item. Do not use binders, folders or books. Identify each item on a sheet by the letter (a-h) that appears to the left of its description below. If f, g or h do not apply, enter NA (Not Applicable). Check off each item as you enclose the attachment.

- \_\_\_ a) A fully detailed income/expense budget of the program/project for which funding is requested. Describe how budget figures were reached. Include each source of income and amount. Use local currency.
- \_\_\_ b) The current income/expenses operating budget of the total program or implementing organization. If different from attachment a above, this budget will be the larger, more inclusive budget. Use local currency.
- \_\_\_ c) Most current *audited* financial report of the implementing organization or diocese OR complete parish parochial report if a congregation in a domestic diocese is the implementing organization.
- \_\_\_ d) List of corporate officers. If not a corporation, list of persons who are authorized to negotiate contracts, manage programs or incur debts of the organization being funded.
- \_\_\_ e) Name, address and phone number of the officer responsible for accounting.
- \_\_\_ f) USA ONLY—USA Federal Tax Exempt Number (application if pending).
- \_\_\_ g) ALL CAPITAL REQUESTS FOR LAND PURCHASE, BUILDING, RENOVATION:  
List of LOANS (diocesan, ECUSA, other) for which the project is eligible, the date contact was initiated with each and its current status. If contact has not been initiated, explain and document the reasons. UTO supports *loan funds* for land and building purchase, building, addition, and renovation and for accessibility for the disabled. UTO does not make capital grants when available loans are feasible. Applications for land or building purchase and for building, addition or renovation will not be considered without attachment g.
- \_\_\_ h) USA DAY CARE: Organizational accreditation, certification, or licensure that applies.

3. a) What is the problem the proposal addresses? While the problem may be general in nature, please also describe the specific needs this program/project will address.

There is a continuing decline in membership in Episcopal Churches in eastern South Dakota because of the inability of the congregations and the Diocese to afford the cost of trained clergy leadership. Alternative forms of ordination (Canon 8; Canon 10, Section 10) are appropriate if used properly. However, lack of training becomes a serious problem when circumstances require such ordinands to perform functions for which they are not trained, and for which the special ordination canons are not intended to be used. These circumstances arise because there are pastoral needs in communities which are more than strictly sacramental functions. The circumstances become critical when there are no longer priests easily accessible who do have the proper training.

- b) In view of the problem and the needs, what changes do you propose to bring about?

A pilot project was designed several years ago, called the 'Wider Area Ministry'. This was an effort to encourage healthy parishes in larger towns to support ministry in the smaller, nearby communities. The program was generally successful. However, the resignation of the Bishop in 1983, and the subsequent changes in diocesan structure made the program less active until new priorities and planning for the entire Diocese were set forth. It is now the intention that the Wider Area Ministry will be renewed and strengthened, using what was learned from experience and informed by new, creative approaches.

- c) What activities and methods will be used to bring about the changes identified? Why were these chosen?

The parish church which is central to the affected mission churches is St. Paul's, Brookings. This parish, with the full cooperation and support of the Vestry and Rector, will host a twenty-four month pilot project designed to identify, raise up and support training the natural, indigenous leadership of the mission churches.

The leaders thus identified and supported will be encouraged to seek ordination to the diaconate under the special canons, with the goal that each congregation will have a deacon. Each deacon will have direct access to a priest who will provide guidance and pastoral oversight.

- d) Describe how you will evaluate the degree to which the changes identified are achieved and how that evaluation will affect future programs.

The changes will be evaluated by the Bishop, and using the method established by the Diocese last year for the evaluation of effective ministry within parishes. This form, administered by the Commission on Ministry, has been effective as an evaluative tool. The results of the evaluation will help determine whether this program will be expanded, maintained, or terminated.

- e) What are the organization's qualifications for operating the program? Who else is involved with planning or operating the program/project? e.g. a) Episcopal/Anglican congregations; b) Other Christian groups/organizations; c) Community/Government organizations; d) Clients, consumers, target population.

The Diocese of South Dakota has extensive experience in ministry development, particularly in using alternative ordination forms. Most of this experience has been oriented to Indian reservations. Although the Wider Area Ministry involves an Indian congregation, it is also an attempt to transport what has been learned on the Reservations to non-Indian congregations.

4. This grant request is for (check one) PROGRAM only , CAPITAL only , PROGRAM & CAPITAL .
5. This grant request is to assist (check one) a NEW program ; CONTINUING program ; Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_.
6. This grant request is for funding (check one) over ONE YEAR ; over TWO YEARS .
7. BUDGET RECAP: Budget recap figures must agree with Attachment 2a. The column on the left below is for the total recap budget figures. *Most requesters will fill out only the left hand column.* If your request is for funding over two years OR for both capital and program purposes, you will show the total recap figures in the left hand column and year OR capital/program recap budgets in the two right hand columns. Use local currency.

	TOTAL BUDGET RECAP	SUBTOTAL CAPITAL OR YEAR 1	SUBTOTAL PROGRAM OR YEAR 2
Total Cost	<u>\$68,971</u>	<u>\$33,723</u>	<u>\$35,248</u>
Total Available	<u>\$27,689</u>	<u>\$12,073</u>	<u>\$15,616</u>
Balance Needed	<u>\$41,282</u>	<u>\$21,650</u>	<u>\$19,632</u>
UTO Request	<u>\$41,282</u>	<u>\$21,650</u>	<u>\$19,632</u>

When local currency is not U.S. dollars: The local currency is \_\_\_\_\_  
 The exchange rate as of (date) \_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_

8. Fill in the year and the U.S. dollar amount of GRANT relationships with national sources in the Episcopal Church. If this does not apply, go to Question 9.

	For this same project		For this same organization	
	Year	Dollar Amount	Year	Dollar Amount
<b>UNITED THANK OFFERING</b>				
Most recent application	_____	_____	1985	<u>\$51,335</u>
Most recent grant award	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>PRESIDING BISHOP'S FUND</b>				
Currently pending	_____	_____	_____	_____
Most recent grant award	_____	_____	1985	<u>\$10,000</u>
Planned future request	_____	_____	_____	<u>none</u>
<b>COALITION FOR HUMAN NEEDS</b>				
Currently pending	_____	_____	1985	<u>none</u>
Most recent grant award	_____	_____	1985	<u>\$10,000</u>
Planned future request	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>OTHER (Name)</b>				
Currently pending	_____	_____	_____	_____
Most recent grant award	_____	_____	_____	_____
Planned future request	_____	_____	_____	_____

9. Thinking only of the particular purposes of this grant request to UTO, to what other places has this same request for a grant been made or will it be made and what is the status of each request?

**no other applications have been made.**

10. How will the program be supported after the grant expires? And how sure of those funding sources are you? Check one: Very  Reasonably  Somewhat  Not at all yet

11. If the grant request is for CAPITAL expenditures, complete each section on this page. If not, see that page 5 is completed according to instructions in Question 1, page 1.

a) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for LAND AND/OR BUILDING PURCHASE.  
 If yes, have you located the land/building to purchase? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_  
 Is ownership title clear? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_  
 Do local authorities assure you it will not be appropriated for other uses? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_  
 If the site/building has not been located, how do you propose to find the land/building and within what period of time?

b) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for construction of a NEW BUILDING.  
 If yes, do you hold title to the land? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_  
 If no, how does that affect your plans?

c) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for ADDITION TO AN EXISTING BUILDING.  
 d) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for RENOVATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING.  
 If your request is for addition or renovation, do you own the existing building? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

If request is for NEW BUILDING, ADDITION, or RENOVATION (b, c, or d this page), were your projected costs arrived at by a general estimate based on local conditions \_\_\_ OR by a professional estimate \_\_\_ ?

If professional estimate, by whom? \_\_\_\_\_

What date do you plan to BEGIN WORK \_\_\_\_\_ COMPLETE WORK \_\_\_\_\_ ?

Describe your plans to maintain the buildings:

e) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for purchase of a VEHICLE.  
 f) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for purchase of EQUIPMENT other than a vehicle. If request is for vehicle or equipment, describe your plans to pay for operation and replacement:

g) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for DEVELOPMENT FUNDS.  
 h) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ This proposal is for LOAN FUNDS.  
 If request is for development or loan funds, describe your plan for administering funds:

i) Y \_\_\_ N \_\_\_ Will the amount requested and available sources complete the project as proposed?  
 If no, what additional help is needed and how will you get it?

A. The most recent UTO grant to this diocese/province was made in the year 1985

B. This application results from (check categories that apply):

Diocesan , Regional , Provincial \_\_\_\_\_, Partners in Mission \_\_\_\_\_ planning.

C. List sources, amounts, and purposes of financial support from the diocese/province or from within the diocese/province. If none, please explain.

\$2,000 will be made available from the Diocese for the initial 10 months in 1986. In addition, another \$7,750 will be made available from a small fund of the Diocese for Grace Church, Madison. In 1989, it is hoped that an additional \$15,949 will be available from the Diocese, as income from our recent fund raising program becomes usable. If the program is as successful as I would like, then the Diocese would continue to fund it as needed, hoping that the congregations served would pay increasing amounts as they are strengthened.

D. Describe how this program/project implements the mission strategy of your diocese or province.

THIS PROJECT IS A PART OF OUR OVERALL STRATEGY FOR MINISTRY IN THE DIOCESE FOR THE NEXT FIVE YEARS. IT FLOWS OUT OF A NEED TO INCREASE THE NUMBER AND COMPETENCE OF TRAINED MINISTERS IN THE DIOCESE TO ENABLE THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN EFFECTIVE VISITATION AND LAY MINISTRY WITHIN THE DIOCESE. THE "START UP" MONIES WAS PROVIDED THIS YEAR BY THE THREE CONGREGATIONS INVOLVED AND THE DIOCESE. ASSISTANCE FOR NEXT YEAR WOULD HELP THE CONGREGATIONS GROW AND STRENGTHEN THE PROGRAM LEADING TO EVENTUAL SELF SUPPORT. THE CONGREGATIONS ARE A MIX OF INDIAN AND NON-INDIAN MEMBERS. THE INITIAL WORK ACCOMPLISHED THIS PAST YEAR IS MOST ENCOURAGING.

E. List in priority order all the 1986 United Thank Offering requests from your diocese/province.

1. WIDE AREA MINISTRY
2. ST ELIZABETH'S ANTI-VIOLENCE CENTER
3. ST JOHN'S PARISH HALL RENOVATION
4. (Overseas Provinces only) \_\_\_\_\_

Date 28 FEBRUARY 1986 NAME OF BISHOP CRAIG B. ANDERSON SOUTH DAKOTA

Signature Craig B Anderson

Post on or before March 31, 1986

Notification will be sent by post card to "Person filing form" (identified on page one) after the completed grant request form is received at the UTO Office. The grant awards list will be published in early September and mailed to all requesters. An individual letter to each requester will be mailed shortly thereafter. Award funds can be distributed beginning October 1986.

The Diocese of South Dakota

UTO Grant Application

(Attachment A)

1986 (10 month period)

INCOME:

St. Paul's Church, Brookings	\$3,811
St. Stephen's Church, De Smet	1,000
St. Mary's Church, Flandreau	1,000
Grace Church, Madison	2,500
Madison Reserve Account	7,750
Diocese of South Dakota	<u>2,000</u>

TOTAL \$18,061

EXPENSES:

Salary	\$10,000
Housing	2,500 (equivalent value)
Pension	2,250
Health & Life Insurance	1,311
Travel	<u>2,000</u>

TOTAL \$18,061

1987

INCOME:

St. Paul's Church, Brookings	\$6,073
St. Stephen's Church, De Smet	1,200
St. Mary's Church, Flandreau	1,200
Grace Church, Madison	3,600
United Thank Offering	<u>21,650</u>

TOTAL \$33,723

EXPENSES:

Salary	\$18,000
Housing	4,500 (equivalent value)
Pension	4,050
Health & Life Insurance	1,573
Travel	3,600
Program Costs & Supplies	<u>2,000</u>

TOTAL \$33,723

1988

INCOME

St. Paul's Church, Brookings	\$6,316
St. Stephen's Church, De Smet	1,800
St. Mary's Church, Flandreau	1,500
Grace Church, Madison	6,000
United Thank Offering	<u>19,632</u>
TOTAL	\$35,248

EXPENSES:

Salary	\$18,720
Housing	4,680 (equivalent value)
Pension	4,212
Health & Life Insurance	1,636
Travel	3,800
Program Costs & Supplies	<u>2,200</u>
TOTAL	\$35,248

1989

INCOME:

St. Paul's Church, Brookings	\$6,568
St. Stephen's Church, De Smet	2,400
St. Mary's Church, Flandreau	2,000
Grace Church, Madison	10,000
Diocese of South Dakota	<u>15,949</u>
TOTAL	\$36,917

EXPENSES:

Salary	\$19,469
Housing	4,867 (equivalent value)
Pension	4,380
Health & Life Insurance	1,701
Travel	4,000
Program Costs & Supplies	<u>2,500</u>
TOTAL	\$36,917

FINANCIAL STATEMENT THROUGH NOVEMBER 30, 1986

CASH RECEIPTS

	<u>October</u>		<u>November</u>		<u>Year to Date</u>
Pledges	520.00		339.55		5070.80
Plate	51.00		31.50		319.06
Discretionary Fund	1.00		14.06		63.06
	<u>572.00</u>		<u>385.11</u>		<u>5452.92</u>
Refunds	47.16		-		182.16
Special Gifts	-		194.00		1294.00
Interest	-		-		614.92
From Diocese	-		-		1018.19
Miscellaneous	-		-		45.52
TOTAL	<u>619.16</u>		<u>579.11</u>		<u>8607.71</u>
Bank Balance	10/1 912.80	11/1	675.56	1/1	666.42
	1531.96		1254.67		9274.13

=====

CASH DISBURSEMENTS

Heat	27.36		42.63		1145.35
Electric	14.57		26.33		339.46
Telephone	19.71		26.22		150.02
Maintenance & Maint. Supplies	38.39		158.53		495.60
Supplies	8.86		32.74		159.50
Bulletins & Printing	19.51		87.97		166.54
Discretionary Fund	1.00		14.06		63.06
Organist	10.00		20.00		230.00
Clergy	250.00		250.00		2550.00
Seminary (Pearson)	30.00		30.00		330.00
Diocese Pledge	200.00		300.00		1200.00
Insurance	237.00		-		762.00
Ordination Expense	-		35.00		35.00
Repairs	-		-		58.05
Church News	-		-		72.00
Safety Deposit Box	-		-		12.00
J. D. Hanson (Plan)	-		-		174.37
Special Gifts	-		194.00		1294.00
TOTALS	<u>856.40</u>		<u>1217.48</u>		<u>9236.94</u>
Bank Balances	10/31 675.56	11/30	37.19		37.19
	1531.96		1254.67		9274.13

=====

CLERGY ACCOUNT

CASH RECEIPTS

Diocese	8775.00
Grace Church	2250.00
DeSmet	900.00
Flandreau	300.00
	<u>12,725.00</u>

DISBURSEMENTS

Karen Hall	10.800
Pension Fund	1195.20
	<u>11,995.20</u>
Bank Bal. 11/30	729.80
	<u>12,725.00</u>



The UTO grant for our ministry in Madison, Flandreau and DeSmet has been approved for 18 months, beginning Jan. 1, 1987.

The parish surveys have been collected. Karen will collate them and report back on the final results. She stated that she noted an overwhelming response for adult education in them.

On Oct. 28 , from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m., there will begin a six-week adult education class based on the SWEEPS ministry. Karen will prepare a letter for a detailed agenda for every bulletin. We will meet every Tues. except the regular Tues. set aside for Bishop's Committee meetings.

Karen will not be doing the CPE Program in Sioux Falls, as her name got on the list too late.

Alcohol and Drug Awareness Sunday is Nov. 23. Karen suggests that as a community outreach program we sponsor an Alcohol and Drug Awareness evening. She has two people already lined up for the program. We could offer our church as a meeting place -- do something worthwhile for the community. Motion by Wrobel and second by Rethorne. Motion carried. The date will be determined.

Johnson suggested that we exterminate the entire church for bugs. A professional job would be very expensive. Hunter will take care of it.

Meeting was adjourned at 9:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

*Katherine T. Brewer*

Katherine T. Brewer